APPROXIMATE TRAVELING WAVES IN LINEAR REACTION-HYPERBOLIC EQUATIONS

AVNER FRIEDMAN AND GHEORGHE CRACIUN

Abstract. Linear reaction-hyperbolic equations arise in the transport of neurofilaments and membrane-bound organelles in axons. The profile of the solution was shown by simulations to be approximately that of a traveling wave; this was also suggested by formal calculations (Reed et al., 1990). In this paper we prove such a result rigorously[1].

Key words. axonal transport, hyperbolic equations, asymptotic approximations, traveling waves

AMS subject classifications. 35L45, 92C20, 92C40

1. Introduction. This paper is concerned with the mathematical analysis of reaction-hyperbolic equations which describe transport of materials along a straight ray $l_0 = \{x : 0 < x < \infty\}$. The model is motivated from biology; it describes the transport of proteins and other molecules along the axon of a neuron. The proteins are formed near the nucleus of the cell, that is, at $x = 0$, and are transported to various locations along the axon, moving towards the synaptic end. Some material is also transported back, in retrograde motion. The transported materials include, for example, vesicles, membrane-bound organelles, and neurofilaments. Motor proteins attached to a vesicle (or a neurofilament) carry this cargo as they pace along a microtubule, step-by-step, energized by ATP molecules. While some of the motors may be moving along a microtubule, others may be "resting" on-track, or even off-track for a while. Thus the model has to deal with several populations of vesicles, depending in what state of motion they are.

Earlier models of axonal transport were developed by Reed and Blum [9, 1, 2]. Using mass reaction laws and conservation of mass, they derived a system of hyperbolic equations and studied (mostly numerically) the particle concentration profile along the axon. Reed, Venakides and Blum [10] considered a mathematical problem derived from such a transport model, in the biologically relevant case when the transition between the various populations is fast relative to the transport. Recent experimental results and computational models [4, 6, 7, 11, 12] also describe the dynamics of such transport.

Consider, for example, two populations p and q, with transition rates

$$p \underset{k_2}{\overset{k_1}{\rightleftharpoons}} q$$

where q is moving and p is resting. Then the corresponding reaction-hyperbolic system describing their transport is given by

$$\varepsilon \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = -k_1 p + k_2 q, \tag{1.1}$$
$$\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + v_2 \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} \right) = k_1 p - k_2 q,$$

[1]This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Agreement No. 0112050.

where ε is a small positive constant. The general transport problem for n species may be written in the form

$$\varepsilon\left(\partial_t + v_i\partial_x\right)p_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} k_{ij}p_j, \text{ for } 0 < x < \infty,\ t > 0,\ 1 \le i \le n, \tag{1.2}$$

where $k_{ij} \ge 0$ if $i \ne j$, the velocities v_i may be positive, negative, or zero, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{ij} = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

by conservation of mass; thus, $k_{jj} = -\sum_{\substack{i=1\\ i\ne j}}^{n} k_{ij}$.

We need to complement (1.2) with initial conditions, and with boundary conditions at $x = 0$ for each p_i for which $v_i > 0$.

The special case

$$\begin{aligned} &v_i = 0, \quad 1 \le i \le n-1,\\ &v_n > 0,\\ &p_i(x,0) = 0, \quad 1 \le i \le n, 0 < x < \infty\\ &p_n(0,t) = 1, \quad t > 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

was studied by Reed, Venakides, and Blum [10]. They derived formulas which suggest that if we write p_n in the form

$$p_n(x,t) = Q_\varepsilon\left(\frac{x - vt}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, t\right) \tag{1.5}$$

then

$$Q_\varepsilon(s,t) \to Q_0(s,t) \text{ as } \varepsilon \to \infty, \tag{1.6}$$

where Q_0 is a solution of the heat equation

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t Q_0 - \sigma^2\partial_s^2 Q_0 = 0, \quad -\infty < s < \infty,\ t > 0,\\ &Q_0(s,0) = 1 \text{ if } s < 0,\\ &Q_0(s,0) = 0 \text{ if } s > 0; \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

the parameters v, σ^2 are computed from the v_j and k_{ij}. The assertion (1.5) in [10] was only formal, but Brooks [3] developed a probabilistic model which enabled her to prove (1.5), in some sense, in the special case of (1.1).

One of the aims of the present paper is to give a rigorous proof of (1.6) for the case (1.4), or more generally for the case when all $v_j \ge 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} &p_i(x,0) = \lambda_i q_0\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right),\ 1 \le i \le n,\\ &p_j(0,t) = \lambda_j \text{ if } v_j > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where either $q_0 \equiv 0$, or $q_0(s)$ has compact support and $q_0(0) = 1$; here $(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n)$ is a vector with positive components and, at the same time, a generator of the null space of the matrix (k_{ij}).

The proof, given in section 3, is by PDE methods. Since, in the case $q_0 \equiv 0$, the function Q_0 is continuous for $t > 0$ while Q_ε is not, we cannot expect the convergence in (1.6) to be in the uniform sense; we shall prove the convergence in the weak L^r-sense for any $1 < r < \infty$.

In the case of (1.1) we shall prove, in section 2, in case q_0 is not identically zero, a "strong" convergence in (1.6), namely,

$$(1.8)\quad \int_{-\frac{vT}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}}^{\infty} \left(Q_\varepsilon(s,t) - Q_0(s,t)\right)^2 ds + \int_0^T \int_{-\frac{vT}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}}^{\infty} \left(\partial_s Q_\varepsilon(s,t) - \partial_s Q_0(s,t)\right)^2 dsdt \le C\sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

This estimate also holds for the case (1.2) if $n = 2$, i.e., for $v_2 > 0$ and $v_1 \ge 0$.

In [8] Pinsky considered the system (1.2) for $-\infty < x < \infty,\ t > 0$, with initial data

$$p_i(x,0) = f\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) \text{ for all } i,\ -\infty < x < \infty,$$

and proved that

$$|Q_\varepsilon(s,t) - Q_0(s,t)| \le C\sqrt{\varepsilon},$$

where $Q_0(s,t)$ is a solution of a heat equation as in (1.7) for $-\infty < s < \infty,\ t > 0$, with $Q_0(s,0) = f(s),\ -\infty < s < \infty$. Pinsky's dynamical system is derived from a different (stochastic) model and, in particular, he assumes that $\sum_{j=1}^{n} k_{ij} = 0$ instead of (1.3). His proof is based on the construction of boundary layers and estimates via the Fourier transform in x; that proof does not extend to the system (1.2), (1.4), not even in the case $n = 2$.

2. The case $n = 2$. Throughout this paper we shall use the notation

$$D = \{(x,t):\ 0 < x < \infty,\ t > 0\}.$$

Consider the process $p \underset{k_2}{\overset{k_1}{\rightleftharpoons}} q$, where k_1, k_2 are positive constants, with dynamics

$$(2.1)\qquad \varepsilon(p_t + v_1 p_x) = -k_1 p + k_2 q,$$

$$(2.2)\qquad \varepsilon(q_t + v_2 q_x) = k_1 p - k_2 q$$

in D, where v_1, v_2 are given constant velocities, and ε is a small positive number. We intend to prove that, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, $q(x,t)$ will behave like $Q\left(\frac{x-vt}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, t\right)$, where $Q(s,t)$ is a solution of a parabolic equation. Such a result requires, of course, that the initial values for q should be of the form $q_0\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right)$. We also choose initial data which are in equilibrium with respect to the process $p \underset{k_2}{\overset{k_1}{\rightleftharpoons}} q$. Thus, we assume that

$$(2.3)\qquad p(x,0) = \frac{k_1}{k_2} q_0\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right),\ q(x,0) = q_0\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) \text{ for } 0 \le x < \infty.$$

We further assume that $v_1 \ge 0,\ v_2 > 0, v_1 \ne v_2$ and prescribe the boundary condition

$$(2.4)\qquad q(0,t) = 1 \text{ for } t > 0.$$

If $v_1 = 0$ then no boundary conditions are imposed on p; however, if $v_1 > 0$ then we prescribe the boundary condition $p(0,t) = \frac{k_2}{k_1}$ for $t > 0$.

We shall require that $q_0(s)$ is in C^4 $(0 \le s < \infty)$ and that

$$\begin{aligned} &0 \le \partial_s q_0(s) \le 1 \text{ if } s > 0,\ q_0(s) = 0 \text{ if } s > A_0, \text{ for some } A_0 < \infty, \\ &q_0(0) = 1,\ \partial_s^j q_0(s)|_{s=0} = 0 \text{ if } 1 \le j \le 4. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

The last two conditions ensure that the initial and boundary data fit at $(0,0)$ up to order 4.

It will be convenient to first deal with the case

$$v_1 = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

However, in order not to repeat some of the calculations, we shall perform these calculations for general v_1.

By standard ODE arguments we deduce that the solution (p,q) is continuously differentiable in $\bar{D}$, that $(\partial_x p, \partial_x q)$ satisfy the same system as (p,q), and

$$k_1 \partial_x p(x,0) = k_2 \partial_x q(x,0) = k_2 \partial_x q_0\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right),\ 0 < x < \infty;$$

furthermore, if $v_1 = 0$, then

$$\varepsilon v_2 \partial_x q(0,t) = k_1 p(0,t) - k_2 q(0,t) \to 0 \text{ if } t \to 0.$$

Since $\partial_x q(x,0) \to 0$ as $x \to 0$, the initial-boundary data are continuous at $(0,0)$. It follows, as before, that the second order derivatives of p, q are continuous in $\bar{D}$, and similarly one can prove that also the third order derivatives of p, q are continuous in $\bar{D}$.

Lemma 2.1. *(Maximum principle.) The following inequalities hold:*

$$0 \le p \le \frac{k_2}{k_1},\ 0 \le q \le 1.$$

Proof. Let us first consider the system (2.1)–(2.4) in $D_L = D \cap \{x > L\}$, for $0 \le L < \infty$. We modify the equations (2.1), (2.2), by subtracting a small positive number μ from the right-hand sides, and denote the corresponding solution by (p_μ, q_μ). We claim that

$$0 \le p_\mu \le \frac{k_2}{k_1} + \mu,\ 0 \le q_\mu \le 1 + \mu. \tag{2.7}$$

Indeed, if this is not true then consider a point (x_0, t_0) with the smallest t_0 for which equality occurs in (2.7) either for p_μ or for q_μ. Suppose, for definiteness, that $q_\mu(x_0,t_0) = 1 + \mu$. Clearly $x_0 > 0$ and $t_0 > 0$, and therefore

$$(\partial_t + v_2 \partial_x) q_\mu(x_0,t_0) \ge 0.$$

On the other hand

$$k_1 p_\mu(x_0,t_0) - k_2 q_\mu(x_0,t_0) - \mu \le -\mu < 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Taking $\mu \to 0$ and then $L \to \infty$ we obtain the inequalities $p \le \frac{k_2}{k_1},\ q \le 1$. Similarly one can prove, by taking $\mu < 0$, that $p \ge 0,\ q \ge 0$. ∎

We now proceed to derive the asymptotic behavior for $q(x,t)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. We begin by deriving a second order partial differential equation for q. From (2.1), (2.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^2(\partial_t + v_1\partial_x)(\partial_t + v_2\partial_x)q &= \varepsilon(\partial_t + v_1\partial_x)(k_1p - k_2q)\\ &= k_1(-k_1p + k_2q) - \varepsilon(\partial_t + v_1\partial_x)k_2q\\ &= -\varepsilon k_1(\partial_t + v_2\partial_x)q - \varepsilon k_2(\partial_t + v_1\partial_x)q,\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\varepsilon(\partial_t + v_1\partial_x)(\partial_t + v_2\partial_x)q + (k_1 + k_2)\partial_t q - (k_1v_2 + k_2v_1)\partial_x q = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Introduce a change of variables

$$s = \frac{x - vt}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}},\ Q_\varepsilon(s,t) = q(x,t),$$

where v will be determined later on. The domain D is transformed into the domain

$$\Omega = \{(s,t) : -\frac{vt}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} < s < \infty,\ t > 0\}.$$

Later on we shall use the notations

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_T &= \Omega \cap \{t < T\},\\ l_T &= \{(s,t) : s = -\frac{vt}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, 0 < t < T\},\ \text{where } 0 < T \le \infty\\ l_0 &= \{(s,0) : 0 < s < \infty\},\\ s_t &= -\frac{vt}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}q_t = \partial_t Q_\varepsilon - \frac{v}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\partial_s Q_\varepsilon,\ q_x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\partial_s Q_\varepsilon,\ \text{and}\\ \partial_t Q_\varepsilon = q_t + vq_x,\ \partial_s Q_\varepsilon = \sqrt{\varepsilon}q_x.\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

It is easily seen that equation (2.8) becomes

$$\varepsilon\left(\partial_t + \frac{v_2 - v}{\varepsilon}\partial_s\right)\left(\partial_t + \frac{v_1 - v}{\varepsilon}\partial_s\right)Q_\varepsilon + (k_1 + k_2)\partial_t Q_\varepsilon - [(k_1v_2 + k_2v_1 - (k_1 + k_2)v]\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\partial_s Q_\varepsilon = 0.$$

We choose

$$v = \frac{k_1v_2 + k_2v_1}{k},\ \text{where } k = k_1 + k_2, \tag{2.10}$$

and then obtain the following equation for Q_ε:

$$\partial_t Q_\varepsilon - \sigma^2\partial_s^2 Q_\varepsilon + \partial_{ts}K\sqrt{\varepsilon}Q_\varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon}{k}\partial_t^2 Q_\varepsilon = 0 \ \text{ in } \Omega, \tag{2.11}$$

where

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{k_1 k_2}{k^3}(v_2 - v_1)^2, \ K = \frac{k_2 - k_1}{k^2}(v_2 - v_1). \tag{2.12}$$

Continuing with the assumption (2.6) we also have

$$Q_\varepsilon(s,0) = q_0(s), \ s > 0, \tag{2.13}$$

and, by (2.2), (2.3), (2.9) and (2.10)

$$\partial_t Q_\varepsilon(s,0) = -\frac{k_2(v_2 - v_1)}{k}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\partial_s q_0(s), \ s > 0. \tag{2.14}$$

Since $q(0,t) = 1$ the function $p(0,t) \equiv k_2/k_1$ is the solution of (2.1) at $x = 0$, so that also

$$\varepsilon q_x(0,t) = \frac{\varepsilon}{v_2}(q_t + v_2 q_x) = \frac{1}{v_2}(k_1 p - k_2 q) = 0 \ \text{ at } (0,t).$$

Hence

$$Q_\varepsilon = 1, \partial_s Q_\varepsilon = \partial_t Q_\varepsilon = 0 \text{ on } l_T, \text{ for any } T > 0. \tag{2.15}$$

If W, ϕ are bounded continuously differentiable functions in $\bar{\Omega}_T$, $T < \infty$, and $\phi(s,T) \equiv 0$, then, by integration by parts

$$\iint_{\Omega_T} W_t \phi ds dt = -\int_{l_T \cup l_0} W\phi ds - \iint_{\Omega_T} W\phi_t ds dt,$$

where in the integrals along l_T and l_0 the variable s is increasing from left to right. Similarly, if $\phi(s,t)$ or $W(s,t)$ converges to zero as $s \to \infty$, $0 < t < T$, then

$$\iint_{\Omega_T} W_s \phi ds dt = -\int_{l_T} W\phi dt - \iint_{\Omega_T} W\phi_s ds dt,$$

where in the integral along l_T the variable t is increasing from $t = 0$ to $t = T$. With the above understanding of the integrals along l_T, we have

$$\int_{l_T} W\phi dt = \int_{l_T} \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{v} W\phi ds \tag{2.16}$$

Let $\tilde{Q}_\varepsilon$ denote the unique bounded solution of the parabolic equation

$$\tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon,t} - \sigma^2 \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon,ss} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \tag{2.17}$$

with the initial and boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{Q}_\varepsilon(s,0) = q_0(s) \text{ on } l_0, \\ &\tilde{Q}_\varepsilon \equiv 1 \text{ on } l_\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Recalling that $q_0^{(j)}(s) = 0$ at $s = 0$ for $1 \le j \le 4$, one can easily verify that $\tilde{Q}_\varepsilon$ satisfies the consistency conditions of order 2 at $(s,t) = (0,0)$. Hence all the derivatives

$$\partial_t^k \partial_s^l \tilde{Q}_\varepsilon \quad (0 \le 2k + l \le 4) \tag{2.19}$$

are continuous at $(0,0)$. In the next two lemmas we prove that these functions are uniformly bounded in Ω, and that they converge uniformly to zero as $s \to \infty$, the uniformity being with respect to ε.

LEMMA 2.2. *The following inequalities hold:*

$$|\partial_t^k \partial_s^l \tilde{Q}_\varepsilon(s,t)| \le C \text{ in } \Omega, \qquad (0 \le 2k+l \le 4), \tag{2.20}$$

where C is a constant independent of ε.

Proof. Consider the function

$$U(x,t) = \tilde{Q}_\varepsilon(s,t), \text{ where } s = \frac{x - vt}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$$

Since $\partial_t \tilde{Q}_\varepsilon = \partial_t U + v \partial_x U$, there holds:

$$U_t = \alpha \varepsilon U_{xx} - \beta U_x \text{ in } D, \tag{2.21}$$

where α, β are positive numbers depending only on k_i, v_i, and

$$U(x,0) = q_0(x), \quad x > 0, \tag{2.22}$$

$$U(0,t) = 1, \quad t > 0. \tag{2.23}$$

We extend U by reflection to $x < 0$:

$$U(x,t) = 2 - U(-x,t), \quad t \ge 0,$$

and similarly extend $q_0(x)$. In view of (2.19), U is continuously differentiable across $x = 0$, and it satisfies (2.21), (2.22), for all $-\infty < x < \infty$. Furthermore, by taking finite differences in x and applying the maximum principle we deduce that

$$|\partial_x^j U(x,t)| \le C\varepsilon^{-j/2} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_2^+ \tag{2.24}$$

for j=1, where

$$\mathbb{R}_2^+ = \{(x,t) : \ -\infty < x < \infty, \ t > 0\}.$$

Similarly one can establish (2.24) for $j = 2,3,4$. It follows that

$$|\partial_s^j \tilde{Q}_\varepsilon(s,t)| \le C \text{ in } \Omega.$$

The remaining inequalities in (2.20) follow from the differential equation (2.17). □

LEMMA 2.3. *For any $0 < T < \infty$, the following inequalities hold for some $\gamma > 0$:*

$$|\partial_t^k \partial_s^l \tilde{Q}_\varepsilon(s,t)| \le Ce^{-\gamma s^2} \text{ in } \Omega_T \cap \{s > 0\}, \quad (0 \le 2k+l \le 4), \tag{2.25}$$

where C is a constant independent of ε.

Proof. Recall that $\tilde{Q}_\varepsilon(s,0) = 0$ if $s > A_0$, so that also $\partial_s^l \tilde{Q}_\varepsilon(s,0) = 0$ if $s > A_0$. We can then compare $\partial_s^l \tilde{Q}_\varepsilon$ with a solution of (2.17) of the form

$$\frac{C}{\sqrt{t}} e^{-\delta s^2/t} \text{ in } \{s > A_0 + 1, \ t > 0\}, \ \left(\delta = \frac{1}{4\sigma^2}\right),$$

and thus deduce the inequality (2.25) with $k = 0$. The remaining estimates follow from the equation (2.17). $\square$

Consider the function

$$W = Q_\varepsilon - \tilde{Q}_\varepsilon \text{ in } \Omega_T,\ 0 < T < \infty.$$

It satisfies the equation

$$W_t - \sigma^2 W_{ss} + K\sqrt{\varepsilon} W_{ts} + \frac{\varepsilon}{k} W_{tt} = F_\varepsilon, \tag{2.26}$$

where

$$F_\varepsilon = -K\sqrt{\varepsilon}\tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon,ts} - \frac{\varepsilon}{k}\tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon,tt}. \tag{2.27}$$

Clearly

$$W \equiv 0 \text{ on } l_0 \cup l_T \tag{2.28}$$

and, by (2.9), (2.15)

$$W_t = -\tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon,t},\ W_s = -\tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon,s} = -\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{v}\tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon,t} \text{ on } l_T. \tag{2.29}$$

Also, by (2.14),

$$\partial_t Q_\varepsilon = q_t + v q_x = \frac{v - v_2}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} q'(s) \text{ on } l_0,$$

so that

$$W_t = -\frac{k_2(v_2 - v_1)}{k\sqrt{\varepsilon}} q_0'(s) \text{ on } l_0. \tag{2.30}$$

From Lemmas 2.2, 2.3 we deduce that

$$\iint_{\Omega_T} F_\varepsilon^2 \le \iint_{\Omega_T \cap \{s<0\}} C_0\varepsilon + \iint_{\Omega_T \cap \{s>0\}} C_0 \varepsilon e^{-2\gamma s^2} \le C\sqrt{\varepsilon},$$

where C_0, C are constants (independent of ε). Hence, for any function $Z \in L^2(\Omega_T)$ and any small $\eta > 0$,

$$\left| \iint_{\Omega_T} F_\varepsilon Z \right| \le \eta \iint_{\Omega_T} Z^2 + C\sqrt{\varepsilon}, \tag{2.31}$$

where C is a constant which depends on η and T.

Lemma 2.4. *For any $0 < T < \infty$, there exists a constant $C = C(T)$ such that*

$$\iint_{\Omega_T} W_t^2 ds dt + \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \int_{s_t}^{\infty} W_s^2(s,t) ds \le C, \tag{2.32}$$

$$\iint_{\Omega_T} (W^2 + W_s^2) ds dt \le C\sqrt{\varepsilon}. \tag{2.33}$$

Proof. If we multiply (2.26) by W_t and integrate over Ω_T, we get

$$\iint_{\Omega_T} W_t^2 - \sigma^2 \iint_{\Omega_T} W_t W_{ss} + K\sqrt{\varepsilon} \iint_{\Omega_T} W_t W_{ts} + \frac{\varepsilon}{k} \iint_{\Omega_T} W_t W_{tt} = - \iint_{\Omega_T} W_t F_\varepsilon. \tag{2.34}$$

We proceed to evaluate terms on the left-hand side of (2.34). By integration by parts we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} - \iint_{\Omega_T} W_t W_{ss} &= - \iint_{\Omega_T} [\partial_s (W_t W_s) - W_{ts} W_s] \\ &= \int_{l_T} W_t W_s dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{s_T}^{\infty} W_s^2(s,T) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{l_o \cup l_T} W_s^2 ds, \end{aligned}$$

and, by (2.29),

$$\int_{l_T} W_t W_s dt = \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{v} \int_{l_T} \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon,t}^2 dt$$

and

$$\int_{l_o \cup l_T} W_s^2 ds = \int_{l_T} W_s^2 ds = \int_{l_T} \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon,s}^2 ds = \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{v} \int_{l_T} \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon,t}^2 dt,$$

where in the last equation we used also (2.16). Hence,

$$-\sigma^2 \iint_{\Omega_T} W_t W_{ss} = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \int_{s_T}^{\infty} W_s^2(s,T) ds - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{v} \int_{l_T} \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon,t}^2 dt. \tag{2.35}$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} K\sqrt{\varepsilon} \iint_{\Omega_T} W_t W_{ts} &= \frac{1}{2} K\sqrt{\varepsilon} \iint_{\Omega_T} \partial_s (W_t^2) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} K\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_{l_T} W_t^2 dt = -\frac{1}{2} K\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_{l_T} \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon,t}^2 dt. \end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

Consider finally

$$\varepsilon \iint_{\Omega_T} W_t W_{tt} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{s_T}^{\infty} W_t^2(s,T) ds - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{l_0 \cup l_T} W_t^2 ds.$$

Since

$$-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{l_T} W_t^2 ds = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{l_T} \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon,t}^2 ds = -\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2v} \int_{l_T} \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon,t}^2 dt,$$

and

$$-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{l_0} W_t^2 ds = \mathcal{O}(1)$$

by (2.30) and the fact that (by (2.17), (2.18)) $\tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon,t} = 0$ on $l_0 \cap \{s > A_0\}$, we obtain

$$\varepsilon \iint_{\Omega_T} W_t W_{ss} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{s_T}^{\infty} W_t^2(s,T)ds - \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2v} \int_{l_T} \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon,t}^2 dt + \mathcal{O}(1). \tag{2.37}$$

Substituting (2.35)–(2.37) into (2.34) and using also (2.31) with $Z = W_t,\ \eta = \frac{1}{2}$ and the inequality $|\tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon,t}| < C$ on l_T, we obtain

$$\iint_{\Omega_T} W_t^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \int_{s_T}^{\infty} W_s^2(s,T)ds \le C\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_{l_T} dt + \mathcal{O}(1),$$

and (2.32) follows.

To prove (2.33) we multiply (2.26) by W and integrate over Ω_T. By integration by parts we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\int_{s_T}^{\infty} W^2(s,T)ds + \sigma^2 \iint_{\Omega_T} W_s^2 - K\sqrt{\varepsilon} \iint_{\Omega_T} W_s W_t \\ &+\frac{\varepsilon}{k}\int_{s_T}^{\infty} (WW_t)(s,T)ds - \frac{\varepsilon}{k}\iint_{\Omega_T} W_t^2 = \iint_{\Omega_T} WF_\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

By Schwarz's inequality, Lemma 2.3, and (2.32),

$$\left| K\sqrt{\varepsilon} \iint_{\Omega_T} W_s W_t \right| \le \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \iint_{\Omega_T} W_s^2 + \frac{K^2\varepsilon}{2\sigma^2} \iint_{\Omega_T} W_t^2 \le \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \iint_{\Omega_T} W_s^2 + C\varepsilon.$$

Also, by (2.31),

$$\left| \iint_{\Omega_T} WF_\varepsilon \right| \le \eta \iint_{\Omega_T} W^2 + C\sqrt{\varepsilon}, \qquad C = C(\eta).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\int_{s_T}^{\infty} W^2(s,T)ds + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \iint_{\Omega_T} W_s^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2k}\int_{s_T}^{\infty} (W^2)_t(s,T)ds \\ \le \eta \iint_{\Omega_T} W^2 + C\sqrt{\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

Integrating both sides with respect to $T,\ 0 < T < T_0$, and choosing $\eta = \frac{1}{4T_0}$, we obtain

$$\iint_{\Omega_{T_0}} W^2 + \int_0^{T_0} \left(\iint_{\Omega_T} W_s^2 \right) dT + \varepsilon \int_{s_{T_0}}^{\infty} W^2(s,T_0)ds \le C\sqrt{\varepsilon} \tag{2.40}$$

where C depends on T_0. Finally, if we use the inequality

$$\int_0^{T_0} \left(\int_0^T f(t)dt) \right) dT = \int_0^{T_0} (T_0 - t) f(t)dt \ge \delta_0 \int_0^{T_0 - \delta_0} f(t)dt$$

with $f(t) = \int_{s_T}^{\infty} W_s^2(s,t)ds$ in (2.40), we obtain the estimate (2.33). □

We extend the function $\tilde{Q}_\varepsilon(s,t)$ by 1 into the domain $\{s < -vt/\sqrt{\varepsilon},\ t > 0\}$, and wish to estimate $\tilde{Q}_\varepsilon - Q_0$, where Q_0 is the bounded solution of

$$\partial_t Q_0 - \sigma^2 \partial_s^2 Q_0 = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2, \tag{2.41}$$

$$Q_0(s,0) = q_0(s) \text{ if } s > 0, \quad Q_0(s,0) = 1 \text{ if } s < 0. \tag{2.42}$$

LEMMA 2.5. *The following inequality holds:*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(\tilde{Q}_\varepsilon - Q_0)^2(s,T)ds + \int_0^T\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(\partial_s\tilde{Q}_\varepsilon - \partial_s Q_0)^2 dsdt \le C\sqrt{\varepsilon}, \tag{2.43}$$

where $0<T<\infty$ *and* C *is a constant independent of* T *and* ε.

Proof. We first estimate $\tilde{Q}_\varepsilon - Q_0$ on l_T. For this purpose we represent the function $V \equiv 1 - Q_0$ in the form

$$V(s,t) = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\frac{(s-\zeta)^2}{4\sigma^2 t}}}{\sigma\sqrt{4\pi t}}\phi(\zeta)d\zeta, \quad \phi(\zeta) = 1 - q_0(\zeta),$$

and compute

$$V\left(-\frac{vt}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, t\right) = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-(-\frac{vt}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}-\zeta)^2/(4\sigma^2 t)}}{\sigma\sqrt{4\pi t}}\phi(\zeta)d\zeta.$$

Substituting

$$(\frac{vt}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} + \zeta)\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} = \xi$$

we obtain

$$\left|V\left(-\frac{vt}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, t\right)\right| \le C\int_{v\sqrt{t/\varepsilon}} e^{-\frac{\xi^2}{4\sigma^2}}d\xi \le Ce^{-\frac{\alpha t}{\varepsilon}} \quad (\alpha>0).$$

Similarly we obtain

$$\left|\partial_s V\left(-\frac{vt}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, t\right)\right| \le (C/\sqrt{t})e^{-\frac{\alpha t}{\varepsilon}}.$$

Consider the function $R = \tilde{Q}_\varepsilon - Q_x\varepsilon$. It satisfies the equation

$$R_t - \sigma^2 R_{ss} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega_T, \tag{2.44}$$

and, by the last two estimates,

$$|R|_{l_T} \le Ce^{-\frac{\alpha t}{\varepsilon}}, \quad |\partial_s R|_{l_T} \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}}e^{-\frac{\alpha t}{\varepsilon}} \tag{2.45}$$

If we multiply (2.44) by R and integrate over Ω_T we obtain, after integration by parts,

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{s_T}^\infty R^2(s,T)ds - \sigma^2\iint_{\Omega_T} R_s^2 = \frac{1}{2}\int_{l_T} R^2 ds - \sigma^2\int_{l_T} RR_s dt.$$

Using (2.45) we find that each of the two integrals on the right-hand side is bounded by $C\sqrt{\varepsilon}$, and thus we derive the estimate (2.43). □

Combining the estimates (2.33), (2.43) we obtain the following theorem:

THEOREM 2.6. *Consider the system (2.1)–(2.4) under the assumptions (2.5) and (2.6). Then we can write* q *in the form*

$$q(x,t) = Q_\varepsilon\left(\frac{x-vt}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, t\right) \tag{2.46}$$

where $Q_\varepsilon(s,t)$ (extended by 1 for $s < -vt/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$) converges to the solution $Q_0(s,t)$ of (2.41), (2.42) as $\varepsilon \to 0$ in the following sense:

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(Q_\varepsilon - Q_0)^2(s,t)ds + \int_0^T\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(\partial_s Q_\varepsilon - \partial_s Q_0)^2 dsdt \le C\sqrt{\varepsilon} \tag{2.47}$$

for any $0 < T < \infty$, where C is a constant which may depend on T.

Remark 2.1. The proof of Theorem 2.6 extends to the case where the first two conditions on $q_0(s)$ are replaced by the weaker condition that $\partial_s^j q_0(s)$ are continuous functions for $s \ge 0$ and they belong to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, for $0 \le j \le 4$.

Remark 2.2. Theorem 2.6 extends, with essentially the same proof, to the case where $v_1 > 0$, provided $v_1 \ne v_2$ and we prescribe the boundary condition $p(x,t) \equiv \frac{k_2}{k_1}$ at $x = 0$.

Remark 2.3. Consider the case where $q_0 \equiv 0$, and take for simplicity $v_1 = 0$. Then the initial and boundary data form a function which is discontinuous at $(0,0)$, so that the proof of Theorem 2.6 cannot be extended to this case. If we introduce an approximating system by changing the initial data as follows:

$$q(x,0) = q_{0\delta}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right), \quad q_{0\delta}(0) = 1,\ q_{0\delta}' \le 0,\ q_{0\delta}(s) = 0 \text{ if } s \ge \delta, \tag{2.48}$$

then for the corresponding solution (p_δ, q_δ) we have the following result:

Theorem 2.7. *The following inequality holds:*

$$\sup_{T>0}\int_0^\infty [(p_\delta(x,T) - p(x,T))^2 + (q_\delta(x,T) - q(x,T))^2]dx \le C\delta, \tag{2.49}$$

where C is a constant independent of the function $q_{0\delta}$.

Proof. Set $\tilde{p} = p_\delta - p,\ \tilde{q} = q_\delta - q$. Then

$$\partial_t\tilde{p} = -k_1\tilde{p} + k_2\tilde{q},\ (\partial_t + v_1\partial_x)\tilde{q} = k_1\tilde{p} - k_2\tilde{q}.$$

Multiplying the first equation by $k_2\tilde{p}$ and the second equation by $k_1\tilde{q}$ and adding, we obtain the inequality

$$k_2\partial_t\tilde{p}^2 + k_1((\partial_t + v_1\partial_x)\tilde{q})^2 \le 0.$$

Integrating over $0 < x < \infty,\ 0 < t < T$, the inequality (2.49) easily follows. □

Theorem 2.7 combined with Theorem 2.6 suggests that, if $q_0(s) \equiv 0$, then $q(x,t) - Q_0(\frac{x-vt}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, t)$ converges to zero in some sense when Q_0 is the solution of (2.41), (2.42) with $q_0(s) \equiv 0$. This situation will be considered, for more general dynamical systems, in section 3.

3. The case $n > 2$. Let $K = (k_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ matrix satisfying the following conditions:

$$k_{ij} \geq 0 \text{ if } i \neq j \tag{3.1}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{ij} = 0 \ \Big(\text{so that } k_{jj} = -\sum_{\substack{i=1\\ i\neq j}}^{n} k_{ij}\Big) \tag{3.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{For any indices } i_0 \neq i_1 \text{ there are } j_1, j_2, ..., j_m \text{ such that}\\ &j_1 = i_0,\ j_m = i_1,\ \text{and } k_{j_l j_{l+1}} > 0 \text{ for } l = 1, ..., m-1.\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

As proved in [10], under the conditions (3.1)–(3.3), the null space of the matrix (k_{ij}) is one-dimensional, and it is generated by a vector $(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n)$ with positive components. For simplicity we take $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j = 1$. For later reference we write

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} k_{ij}\lambda_j = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n. \tag{3.4}$$

In this section we consider a collection of populations undergoing transitions

$$p_i \underset{k_{ji}}{\overset{k_{ij}}{\rightleftharpoons}} p_j \ \text{ for } i, j = 1, ..., n$$

with the dynamics given by (1.2), in the special case (1.4), or, more generally, for the case when $v_j \geq 0$ for all j, and

$$\begin{aligned}&p_i(x, 0) = \lambda_i q_0\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right),\ 1 \leq i \leq n,\\ &p_j(0, t) = \lambda_j \text{ if } v_j > 0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Here we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{either } q_0 \equiv 0,\ \text{or } q_0(s) \text{ is of class } C^n,\ \text{has compact}\\ &\text{support, } q_0(0) = 1,\ \text{and } q_0^{(k)}(0) = 0 \text{ for all } k = 1, ..., n.\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

THEOREM 3.1. *Let the matrix (k_{ij}) satisfy the conditions (3.1)–(3.3), and consider the system (1.2) with $v_j \geq 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$, $v_n > 0$, and with the initial and boundary conditions (3.5), where q_0 is a function satisfying (3.6). Then, for some constants $v > 0$ and $\sigma^2 > 0$ the following holds:*

$$p_j(x, t) = Q_{\varepsilon,j}\left(\frac{x - vt}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, t\right) \quad (1 \leq j \leq n) \tag{3.7}$$

where, as $\varepsilon \to 0$,

$$Q_{\varepsilon,j} \to \lambda_j Q_0 \text{ weakly in } L^r(\mathbb{R}^2_+), \tag{3.8}$$

for any $1 < r < \infty$, and $Q_0(s, t)$ is the bounded solution of (2.41), (2.42).

Proof. For clarity we first prove the theorem for $j = n$, $q_0(0) = 1, q_0 \in C^n$, and set $Q_{\varepsilon,j} = Q_\varepsilon$. As in section 2 one can prove that the functions $p_j(x, t)$ belong to

$C^n(\bar{D})$. Then, by algebraic elimination, as in [5], p.14, it follows that each of the functions p_j satisfies the equation

$$\det\Big(\lambda_{ij} - \delta_{ij}\varepsilon(\partial_t + v_j\partial_x)\Big)\, w = 0. \tag{3.9}$$

We shall henceforth use this equation for the function $p_n(x,t)$. Introducing the function Q_ε as in (3.7), the calculations in [8], [10] show that

$$\partial_t Q_\varepsilon - \sigma^2\partial_s^2 Q_\varepsilon = P(\partial_s, \partial_t)Q_\varepsilon \tag{3.10}$$

where $v = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j v_j$, $-\sigma^2 = \frac{a_{02}}{a_{10}}$ where a_{kl} are defined by $\det(k_{ij} - \gamma\delta_{ij} - \lambda(v_i - v)\delta_{ij}) = \sum_{0\le k+l\le n} a_{kl}\gamma^k\lambda^l$, and

$$P(\partial_s, \partial_t) = \sum_{l+k\le n} \beta_{lk}\varepsilon^{l+\frac{k}{2}-1}\partial_t^l\partial_s^k \tag{3.11}$$

where the β_{lk} are constants depending only on the k_{ij} and v_j.

Set $p_i(x,t) = P_i\left(\frac{x-vt}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, t\right)$. Then

$$\varepsilon\left(\partial_t + \frac{v}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\partial_s\right)P_i + \frac{v_i}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\partial_s P_i = \sum_{j=1}^n k_{ij}P_j. \tag{3.12}$$

Lemma 3.2. *There holds*

$$\partial_t^l\partial_x^k p_j(0,t) = 0 \textit{ for all } 0 \le k+l \le n,\ t > 0,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\partial_t^l\partial_s^k P_{j,\varepsilon}\left(\frac{-vt}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, t\right) = 0 \textit{ for all } 0 \le k+l \le n,\ t > 0.$$

Proof. Let $A = \{i : v_i = 0\}$, $B = \{i : v_i > 0\}$. We break (1.2) at $x = 0$ into two subsystems:

$$\partial_t p_i(0,t) = \sum_{j\in A} k_{ij}p_j(0,t) + \sum_{j\in B} k_{ij}p_j(0,t), \text{ for } i \in A \tag{3.13}$$

$$\partial_t p_i(0,t) + v_i\partial_x p_i(0,t) = \sum_{j=1}^n k_{ij}p_j(0,t), \text{ for } i \in B \tag{3.14}$$

Since $p_j(0,t) \equiv \lambda_j$ if $j \in B$, and $p_i(0,0) = \lambda_i$ if $i \in A$, using (3.4) we deduce that the unique solution of the ODE system (3.13) is $p_i(0,t) \equiv \lambda_i$ for all $i \in A$. Then $p_j(0,t) \equiv \lambda_j$ for all $j = 1,..,n$ and (3.14) gives

$$\partial_x p_i(0,t) = 0 \text{ for } i \in B. \tag{3.15}$$

We now apply ∂_x to (1.2) and deduce analogously to (3.13), (3.14):

$$\partial_t\partial_x p_i(0,t) = \sum_{j\in A} k_{ij}\partial_x p_j(0,t) + \sum_{j\in B} k_{ij}\partial_x p_j(0,t), \text{ for } i \in A \tag{3.16}$$

$$\partial_t\partial_x p_i(0,t) + v_i\partial_x\partial_x p_i(0,t) = \sum_{j=1}^n k_{ij}\partial_x p_j(0,t), \text{ for } i \in B \tag{3.17}$$

Note that, by (3.15), we have $\sum_{j\in B} k_{ij}\partial_x p_j(0,t)=0$; also, $\partial_x p_i(0,0)=0$ for all $i\in A$. Then the unique solution of the ODE system (3.16) is $\partial_x p_i(0,0)\equiv 0$ for all $i\in A$, so that, upon recalling (3.15), $\partial_x p_i(0,0)\equiv 0$ for all $i=1,...,n$. By differentiating (3.15) in t we obtain $\partial_t\partial_x p_i(0,t)\equiv 0$, and using (3.17) we conclude that $\partial_x^2 p_i(0,t)\equiv 0$ for all $i\in B$.

In the same way, if we differentiate (1.2) twice in x we can conclude that $\partial_x^2 p_j(0,t)\equiv 0$ for all $1\le j\le n$, and similarly $\partial_x^k p_j(0,t)\equiv 0$ for all $1\le k\le n$, $1\le j\le n$. This implies the statement of the lemma. □

Lemma 3.3. *There holds*

$$\partial_t^l\partial_s^k P_{j,\varepsilon}(s,0)=O(\varepsilon^{\frac12-l}) \text{ for all } l\ge 1,\ k+l\le n,\ s>0.$$

Proof. By (3.12), at $t=0$,

$$\partial_t P_m(s,0)=\frac{v-v_m}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\partial_s P_m(s,0)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\sum_{j=1}^n k_{mj}P_j(s,0)=\frac{v-v_m}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\lambda_m q_0'(s),$$

i.e., $\partial_t P_m(s,0)=C_1^1\varepsilon^{-\frac12}q_0'(s)$ for some constant C_1^1. Next, applying ∂_t to (3.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t^2 P_m(s,0)&=\partial_t\left(\frac{v-v_m}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\partial_s P_m(s,0)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\sum_{j=1}^n k_{mj}P_j\right)(s,0)\\&=C_1^2\varepsilon^{-\frac32}q_0'(s)+C_2^2\varepsilon^{-1}q_0''(s),\end{aligned}$$

for some constants C_1^2 and C_2^2. Similarly, for any $l\le n$ we obtain

$$\partial_t^l P_m(s,0)=\sum_{j=1}^l C_j^l\varepsilon^{l-\frac{j}{2}}q_0^{(j)}(s)$$

for some constants C_j^l, which implies the statement of the lemma. □

We return to the proof of Theorem 3.1 for p_n, in the case $q_0(0)=1, q_0\in C^n$. As in the proof of the maximum principle (Lemma 2.1) one can prove that

$$0\le p_j(x,t)\le\lambda_j. \tag{3.18}$$

We extend the function $Q_\varepsilon(s,t)$ by 1 into $\{-\infty<s<-\frac{vt}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, t>0\}$. By (3.18) with $j=n$, any sequence $\varepsilon'\to 0$ has a subsequence $\varepsilon''\to 0$ such that $Q_{\varepsilon''}\to\bar{Q}$ in $L^r(\mathbb{R}_2^+)$, for any $0<r<\infty$, where $\mathbb{R}_2^+=\{(s,t)\in\mathbb{R}_2: t>0\}$

Take any smooth function ϕ with compact support $K\subset\mathbb{R}_2^+$. If we multiply ϕ by the left-hand side of (3.10) and perform integration by parts, we obtain

$$\iint_K \phi(\partial_t Q_\varepsilon-\sigma^2\partial_s^2 Q_\varepsilon)=\iint_K Q_\varepsilon(-\partial_t-\sigma^2\partial_s^2)\phi \tag{3.19}$$

provided ε is sufficiently small so that K stays to the right of l_∞. Similarly, from the right-hand side of (3.10) we get

$$\iint_K \phi P(\partial_s,\partial_t)Q_\varepsilon=\iint_K Q_\varepsilon P^*(\partial_s,\partial_t)\phi \tag{3.20}$$

where P^* is the adjoint of the differential operator P defined in (3.11). As $\varepsilon = \varepsilon'' \to 0$ the right-hand side of (3.20) converges to zero. Hence, by (3.10), the same is true of each of the two sides of (3.19), so that

$$\iint_K \bar{Q}(-\partial_t - \sigma^2 \partial_s^2)\phi = 0.$$

It follows that $\bar{Q}$ is a weak solution of the heat equation

$$\partial_t \bar{Q} - \sigma^2 \partial_s^2 \bar{Q} = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_2^+. \tag{3.21}$$

By regularity of weak solutions we conclude that $\bar{Q}$ is a smooth solution of (3.21).

Next let ϕ be a smooth function with compact support K in $\{(s,t) : s > 0, -1 < t < \infty\}$. If we multiply the right-had side of (3.10) by ϕ and integrate by parts, we obtain

$$\iint_K Q_\varepsilon P^*(\partial_s, \partial_t)\phi - \int_{K\cap\{t=0\}} \phi \left(\sum_{l+k\le n} \beta_{lk} \varepsilon^{l+\frac{k}{2}-1} \partial_t^{l-1} \partial_s^k \right) Q_\varepsilon,$$

and by Lemma 3.3 the last integral converges to zero as $\varepsilon \to 0$; the first integral also converges to zero, as in the previous case. We conclude from (3.10) that

$$\iint_K \phi(\partial_t Q_\varepsilon - \sigma^2 \partial_s^2 Q_\varepsilon) \to 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

By integration by parts, the left-hand side is equal to

$$\iint_K Q_\varepsilon(-\partial_t - \sigma^2 \partial_s^2)\phi - \int_{K\cap\{t=0\}} \lambda_n q_0 \phi.$$

Hence, as $\varepsilon = \varepsilon'' \to 0$, we get

$$\iint_K \bar{Q}(-\partial_t - \sigma^2 \partial_s^2)\phi - \int_{K\cap\{t=0\}} \lambda_n q_0 \phi = 0.$$

This means that $\bar{Q}$ takes the initial data $\lambda_n q_0(s)$ in a weak sense, and by regularity results, also in the classical sense.

Take finally a smooth function ϕ with compact support K in $\{(s,t) : s < 0, -1 < t < \infty\}$. We proceed as in the previous case, but with the function $R_\varepsilon = Q_\varepsilon - \lambda_n$. By Lemma 3.3, when integrating by parts, we do not get any boundary integrals on l_∞. Hence, after going to the limit with $\varepsilon = \varepsilon'' \to 0$, we find that $\bar{Q} - \lambda_n$ takes the initial value 0 on $\{(s,t) : s < 0, t = 0\}$. We have thus proved that $\bar{Q} = Q_0$ and this completes the proof of the theorem for p_n, in the case $q_0(0) = 1, q_0 \in C^n$.

Consider next the case $q_0 \equiv 0$. Since the solution is not continuous, the preceding proof cannot be applied directly. Instead, we approximate the problem by introducing initial data as in Theorem 1, but with

$$q_0'(s) \le 0, q_0(s) \equiv 0 \text{ if } s > \delta.$$

We denote the corresponding solutions by $p_{j,\delta}$, and set $q_{\delta,\varepsilon} = p_{j,\delta}$. We introduce a function $Q_{\delta,\varepsilon}(s,t)$ by

$$q_{\delta,\varepsilon}(x,t) = Q_{\delta,\varepsilon}(s,t), \;\; s = \frac{x - vt}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$$

Then any sequence $(\delta', \varepsilon') \to 0$ has a subsequence $(\delta'', \varepsilon'') \to 0$ such that $Q_{\delta'', \varepsilon''} \to \bar{Q}$ weakly in $L^r(\mathbb{R}_2^+)$, and, as before, $\bar{Q}$ coincides with the solution of the heat equation (1.7).

The proof of Theorem 3.1 for any p_j is the same as for p_n, since Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 hold for any $1 \leq j \leq n$. □

REFERENCES

[1] Blum, J.J., Reed, M.C., 1988. The Transport of Organelles in Axons, *Math. Biosci.* 90:233-245.

[2] Blum, J.J., Reed, M.C., 1989. A Model for Slow Axonal Transport and its Application to Neurofilamentous Neuropathies, Cell Motility Cytoskeleton 12:53-65.

[3] Brooks, E.A., 1999. Probabilistic Methods for a Linear Reaction-Hyperbolic System with Constant Coefficients. Ann. Appl. Prob. 9(3):719-731.

[4] Brown, A., Wang, L., Jung, P., 2005. Stochastic simulation of neurofilament transport in axons: the 'stop and go' hypothesis, Mol. Biol. Cell, *in press*.

[5] Courant, R., Hilbert, D, Methods of Mathematical Physics, vol. 2, John Wiley and Sons, 1962.

[6] G. Craciun, A. Brown, A. Friedman, 2005. A Dynamical System Model of Neurofilament Transport in Axons, Math. Biosci. Eng., *in press*.

[7] Friedman, A., Craciun, G., 2004. A Model of Intracellular Transport of Particles in an Axon. J. Math. Biol., *in press*.

[8] Pinsky, M., 1967. Differential equations with a small parameter and the central limit theorem for functions defined on a finite Markov chain, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie 9, 101-111.

[9] M. C. Reed, J. J. Blum, 1986. Theoretical Analysis of radioactivity profiles during fast axonal transport: Effects of deposition and turnover, Cell Motility Cytoskeleton 6:620-627.

[10] Reed, M.C., Venakides, S., Blum, J.J., 1990. Approximate Traveling Waves in Linear Reaction-Hyperbolic Equations. SIAM J. Appl. Math. 50(1):167-180.

[11] Wang, L., Brown, A., 2001. Rapid intermittent movement of axonal neurofilaments observed by fluorescence photobleaching. Mol. Biol. Cell 12:3257-3267.

[12] Wang, L., Ho, C.-L., Sun, D., Liem, R.K.H., Brown A., 2000. Rapid movement of axonal neurofilaments interrupted by prolonged pauses. Nat. Cell Biol. 2:137-141.